

# Loop Equations for the d-dimensional One-Hermitian Matrix model

Jorge Alfaro\*  
Theory Division, CERN  
CH-1211 Geneva 23  
and

Facultad de Física  
Universidad Católica de Chile  
Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile

February 1, 2008

## Abstract

We derive the loop equations for the one Hermitian matrix model in any dimension. These are a consequence of the Schwinger-Dyson equations of the model. Moreover we show that in leading order of large  $N$  the loop equations form a closed set.

CERN–TH-6966/93  
August 1993

---

\*Permanent address: Fac. de Física, Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile.

# 1 Introduction

Recently enormous progress has been made in the understanding of the physics of non-perturbative strings in a low number of dimensions. This has been made possible by the matrix model technology. The Feynman graphs of the matrix models provide a suitable discretization of the two-dimensional surface where the string lives, classified according to its genus, which in the matrix model is a given order in  $1/N$  ( $N$  being the range of the matrix). By tuning the couplings in the matrix model in such a way that the perturbative series diverges, the continuum limit of the two-dimensional surface can be approached. In this way it is possible to get a sum over all topologies in the first quantized string, using as a discretization of the two-dimensional world-sheet a dense sum of Feynman graphs of an associated matrix model.

Whenever the matrix model is solvable, the corresponding string properties can be also determined. In this way  $2d$  gravity coupled to conformal matter with  $c \leq 1$  has been analysed and the critical exponents determined [1][2] [3].

Furthermore it has been shown that a Virasoro algebra naturally arises in these matrix models [4]. This algebra is a realization of the Schwinger-Dyson equations in the  $U(N)$  invariant set of operators of the matrix model. Using this algebra, the equivalence of zero-dimensional matrix models to topological  $2d$  gravity has been proved [5]. Some time ago, an application of these ideas to compute intersection indices on moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces has been made [6].

More recently further progress has been made possible by the complete solution of the two-matrix model in zero dimensions[7][8][9].

To study non-critical strings in higher dimensions, a knowledge of the solution of matrix models in  $d > 1$  is needed,  $d$  is the dimension of space-time, (for a different approach to the problem see [10]). But beyond  $d = 1$  the matrix model is not solvable (although the gauged matrix model is [11]). So various approximation schemes have been suggested to tackle this problem [12].

In this note we want to derive the loop equations for the Hermitian matrix model for arbitrary  $d$ . They are a consequence of the Schwinger-Dyson equations that its correlation functions satisfy. Moreover we will show that in the large  $N$ - limit, the loop equations form a closed set. We believe this is an important step in the understanding of the physics of these models because

recent experience has shown [4] that the Schwinger-Dyson equations are a powerful tool to explore the involved symmetries. It would be desirable to explore the loop equations we present here from this perspective.

A simple and powerful way to derive Schwinger-Dyson equations is the hidden BRST method [13]. In fact this was used to find the loop equations for the zero-dimensional, two-matrix model in [8]. So we start by reviewing this method in section 2 and apply it to derive the loop equations of the  $d$ -dimensional matrix model in the next section. In section 4 we consider the case  $d = 0$  as a check. In section 5 we draw some conclusions and comment on future work.

## 2 Hidden BRST Symmetry and Schwinger-Dyson Equations

The common procedure to derive Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDe) involves using the invariance of the path integral measure under field translations. Invariances of the action itself are not relevant for this derivation. In this section we review a different approach which has been proposed some years ago [13]: By making use of a (trivial) BRST symmetric extension of any action  $S$ , we can derive all SDe's as BRST supersymmetric Ward identities of the new action.

For the sake of simplicity consider the path integral describing one bosonic field  $\varphi(x)$ :

$$Z = \int [d\varphi] e^{-S(\varphi)}. \quad (1)$$

The functional measure  $[d\varphi]$  in the last equation is invariant under field translations:

$$\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x) + \epsilon(x). \quad (2)$$

The invariance of the functional measure implies the following statement:

$$\int [d\varphi] \frac{\delta [F e^{-S(\varphi)}]}{\delta \varphi(x)} = 0 \quad (3)$$

From (3) we get

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi(x)} - F \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(x)} \right\rangle = 0 \quad (4)$$

for any  $F = F(\varphi)$ . These are the SDe's for the theory. Notice that, in general,  $S$  is not invariant under (2) and that this lack of invariance plays no role in the derivation of the SDe's. We now introduce auxiliary fermionic variables  $\psi(x)$ ,  $\bar{\psi}(x)$  and insert a trivial factor of unity

$$1 = \int [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] e^{-\int dx \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)}$$

inside the partition function. The resulting action  $\bar{S}$  is invariant under the following transformation:

$$\bar{S}[\varphi, \psi, \bar{\psi}] = S[\varphi] + \int dx \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \quad (5)$$

$$\delta \varphi(x) = \psi(x) \quad (6)$$

$$\delta \psi(x) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\delta \bar{\psi}(x) = -\delta S / \delta \varphi(x) \quad (8)$$

Associated with the BRST-like symmetry, (6)–(8) is a set of Ward identities; for example, unbroken BRST implies:

$$\langle \delta[F(\varphi)\bar{\psi}(y)] \rangle = 0,$$

which is

$$\left\langle \int dx \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi(x)} \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) - F \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} \right\rangle = 0.$$

Computing the average with respect to the fermionic variables we recover eq. (4). Notice that the BRST transformation we have just defined commutes with any symmetry the original action  $S$  may have. In particular, if the original action is invariant under a  $U(N)$  group, the BRST symmetry will commute with this group also and then its implications will be true order by order in the  $1/N$  expansion.

In the next section we use the Schwinger-Dyson BRST symmetry to derive the loop equations for the  $d$ -dimensional Hermitian matrix model.

### 3 Loop Equations

In this section we will derive the loop equations for the model defined by the action:

$$S = \int d^d x \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu M(x) \partial_\mu M(x) + V(M(x)) \right) \quad (9)$$

$M(x)$  is an  $N \times N$  Hermitian matrix defined on the Euclidean space-time point  $x$ , and  $V$  is a local function of  $M$  (normally a polynomial whose degree can be restricted by renormalizability of the model for  $d > 2$ ).

The extended action and the BRST transformation are:

$$S_{ext} = S + \int d^d x \text{tr} \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \quad (10)$$

$$\delta M(x) = \psi(x) \quad (11)$$

$$\delta \psi(x) = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\delta \bar{\psi}(x) = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta M(x)} \quad (13)$$

Introduce the following representation of  $M(x)$ :

$$M(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N m_\alpha(x) T^\alpha(x), \quad (14)$$

where the projectors of the matrix  $M(x)$  satisfy:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N T^\alpha(x) = 1 \quad (15)$$

$$\text{tr} T^\alpha(x) T^\beta(x) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (16)$$

$$T^\alpha(x) T^\beta(x) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} T^\alpha(x). \quad (17)$$

If  $\delta$  denotes the BRST variation (see last section), we get the following BRST transformation for the projectors  $T^\alpha$  and eigenvalues  $m_\alpha$  (see the Appendix):

$$\delta T^\alpha(x) = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{T^\alpha(x) \psi(x) T^\beta(x) + T^\beta(x) \psi(x) T^\alpha(x)}{m_\alpha(x) - m_\beta(x)} \quad (18)$$

$$\delta m_\alpha(x) = \text{tr} \psi(x) T^\alpha(x). \quad (19)$$

Consider the following functional of  $\lambda(x)$

$$K_{ij} = \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_\alpha(x) T^\alpha(x)_{ij}. \quad (20)$$

Notice that  $K_{ij}$  is an  $x$ -independent  $N \times N$  matrix.

The basic object we will use to write the loop equations is:

$$u[\lambda] = e^{iK} \quad (21)$$

It fullfils the identity:

$$\frac{\delta u[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x)} = \int_0^1 dt e^{itK} iT^\alpha(x) e^{i(1-t)K}, \quad (22)$$

which implies:

$$\frac{\delta \text{tru}[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x)} = i \text{tr} T^\alpha(x) u[\lambda] \quad (23)$$

The trace is taken with respect to the internal indices only. From  $u[\lambda]$  we can compute all symmetric combinations of products of  $T^\alpha$ . For instance:

$$\frac{\delta^2 u[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\beta_1}(y_1) \delta \lambda_{\beta_2}(y_2)} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = - \frac{T^{\beta_2}(y_2) T^{\beta_1}(y_1) + T^{\beta_1}(y_1) T^{\beta_2}(y_2)}{2} \quad (24)$$

The Schwinger-Dyson equation is:

$$\langle \delta \text{tr}[u[\lambda] \bar{\psi}(y)] \rangle = 0 \quad (25)$$

i.e.

$$\langle \text{tr}[\delta u[\lambda] \bar{\psi}(y) + \text{tr}[u[\lambda] \delta \bar{\psi}(y)] \rangle = 0. \quad (26)$$

Since

$$\delta \bar{\psi}(y) = \square_y M - \Delta(M) \quad (27)$$

where

$$\Delta(M) = V'(M). \quad (28)$$

We get:

$$\text{tru}[\square_y M(y) - \Delta(y)] = \sum_\alpha [m_\alpha(y) \square_y + 2 \partial_\mu m_\alpha(y) \partial_\mu + \square_y m_\alpha(y) - \Delta_\alpha] \text{tru} T^\alpha(y). \quad (29)$$

We can evaluate:

$$\text{tru} T^\alpha(y) = -i \frac{\delta \text{tru}[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(y)}. \quad (30)$$

We can also compute  $\delta u[\lambda]$ :

$$\delta u = \int_0^1 dt e^{it} \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha}(x) T^{\alpha}(x) i \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha}(x) \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{T^{\alpha}(x) \psi(x) T^{\beta}(x) + T^{\beta}(x) \psi(x) T^{\alpha}(x)}{m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta}} e^{i(1-t) \int dx \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha}(x) T^{\alpha}(x)} \quad (31)$$

After computing the fermionic average, we get:

$$\langle \delta u \bar{\psi}(y) \rangle = \langle i \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(y) - \lambda_{\beta}(y)}{m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta}} \text{tru}[t\lambda] T^{\beta}(y) \text{tru}[(1-t)\lambda] T^{\alpha}(y) \rangle. \quad (32)$$

Since  $T^{\alpha}(y)$  appears only once in the trace, we can express it as a derivative of  $u$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tru}[t\lambda] T^{\beta}(y) &= \frac{-i}{t} \frac{\delta \text{tru}[t\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\beta}(y)} \\ \text{tru}[(1-t)\lambda] T^{\alpha}(y) &= \frac{-i}{1-t} \frac{\delta \text{tru}[(1-t)\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\alpha}(y)} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Therefore the loop equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int_0^1 dt \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(y) - \lambda_{\beta}(y)}{m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta}} \frac{1}{t(1-t)} \frac{\delta \text{tru}[t\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\beta}(y)} \frac{\delta \text{tru}[(1-t)\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\alpha}(y)} \rangle = \\ - \langle \sum_{\alpha} [m_{\alpha}(y) \square_y + 2\partial_{\mu} m_{\alpha}(y) \partial_{\mu} + \square_y m_{\alpha}(y) - \Delta_{\alpha}] \frac{\delta \text{tru}[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_{\alpha}(y)} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which is valid to any order in the  $1/N$  expansion.

A simplification is possible if we restrict ourselves to the leading order in  $1/N$ . In this case we can apply Witten's factorization property [14] to the loop equations to prove that:

$$F(M) \sim \langle F(M) \rangle + O(N^{-a}), \quad a > 0$$

for any  $U(N)$ -invariant  $F$ . In particular, we obtain that:

$$m_{\alpha}(x) \sim \langle m_{\alpha}(x) \rangle = \langle m_{\alpha}(0) \rangle.$$

Therefore, in leading order of large  $N$  the loop equation becomes a closed system for  $u[\lambda]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int_0^1 dt \frac{\lambda_\alpha(y) - \lambda_\beta(y)}{m_\alpha - m_\beta} \frac{1}{t(1-t)} \frac{\delta tru[t\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\beta(y)} \frac{\delta tru[(1-t)\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(y)} \\ = - \sum_{\alpha} (m_\alpha \square_y - \Delta_\alpha) \frac{\delta tru[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(y)} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The reason why we could derive this closed system was that we chose to write the Schwinger-Dyson (loop) equations in terms of  $T^\alpha$  rather than  $M$ . Notice also that by using the BRST Schwinger-Dyson transformation we can avoid this difficult change of variables in the path integral and the (highly) non-trivial measure in the  $(m_\alpha, T^\alpha)$  basis.

The loop equations must be solved subject to the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} tru|_{\lambda=0} &= N \\ \frac{\delta tru}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x)} &= i \\ \frac{\delta^2 tru}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x) \lambda_\beta(x)}|_{\lambda=0} &= -\delta_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

A particular solution of the loop equations is  $u = \text{constant}$  ( $\lambda$  independent), which does not satisfy the boundary conditions. We must look for a non-trivial solution.

An equivalent formulation of the loop equations is obtained by noticing that only the derivative of  $tru$  appears in the loop equations. So identify:

$$v[\lambda]_\alpha(x) = \frac{\delta tru}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x)}. \quad (37)$$

Then the loop equation is equivalent to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \int_0^1 dt \frac{\lambda_\alpha(y) - \lambda_\beta(y)}{m_\alpha - m_\beta} \frac{1}{t(1-t)} v[t\lambda]_\beta(y) v[(1-t)\lambda]_\alpha(y) \\ = - \sum_{\alpha} (m_\alpha \square_y - \Delta_\alpha) v[\lambda]_\alpha(y) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$



$$\frac{\delta v[\lambda]_\alpha(x)}{\delta \lambda_\beta(y)} = \frac{\delta v[\lambda]_\beta(y)}{\delta \lambda_\alpha(x)} \quad (39)$$

$$v[\lambda]_\alpha(x)|_{\lambda=0} = i \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\delta v[\lambda]_\alpha(x)}{\delta \lambda_\beta(x)}|_{\lambda=0} = -\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (41)$$

Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} tr M(x_1)M(x_2)...M(x_n) &= \sum_{\alpha_1...\alpha_n} m_{\alpha_1}...m_{\alpha_n} tr T^{\alpha_1}(x_1)...T^{\alpha_n}(x_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha_1...\alpha_n} m_{\alpha_1}...m_{\alpha_n} [tr T^{\alpha_1}(x_1)..T^{\alpha_n}(x_n) + \text{all permutations of indices } \alpha] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Therefore it is enough to know  $tru[\lambda]$  to get all correlations of the matrix  $M$  that are symmetric under permutations of the space-time points  $x_i$ .

We can get a continuum version of the large- $N$  loop equation by the usual manipulations. That is we introduce the functions  $\lambda(x, y)$  and  $m(x)$  defined for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and the density of eigenvalues  $\rho$ , and make the following identifications:

$$\lambda_\alpha(y) = \lambda\left(\frac{\alpha}{N}, y\right) \quad (43)$$

$$m_\alpha = \sqrt{N}m\left(\frac{\alpha}{N}\right) \quad (44)$$

$$\rho(m) = \frac{dx}{dm} \quad (45)$$

$$\int \rho(m) = 1. \quad (46)$$

Then the large- $N$  loop equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &P \int dm_1 dm_2 \rho(m_1) \rho(m_2) \int_0^1 dt \frac{\lambda(m_1, y) - \lambda(m_2, y)}{m_1 - m_2} \frac{1}{t(1-t)} \\ &\times \frac{\delta tru[t\lambda]}{\delta \lambda(m_2, y)} \frac{\delta tru[(1-t)\lambda]}{\delta \lambda(m_1, y)} = - \int dm (m \square_y - \Delta(m)) \frac{tru[\lambda]}{\delta \lambda(m, y)}; \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$P$  stands for the principal value of the integral over  $m_i$ .

In the next section we will solve in detail the  $d = 0$  case and show that it agrees with the known solution [15].

## 4 Zero-dimensional matrix model

The zero-dimensional matrix model was solved a long time ago [15]. In this case the only unknown variable is the density of eigenvalues  $\rho$ . This model was solved using the SDe's in [16].

It is easy to show, using the properties of the projectors, that:

$$u[\lambda] = \sum_{\alpha} e^{i\lambda_{\alpha}} T^{\alpha} \quad (48)$$

$$tru[\lambda] = \sum_{\alpha} e^{i\lambda_{\alpha}} \quad (49)$$

That is, in  $d = 0$ ,  $tru[\lambda]$  decouples from  $M$ . Replacing  $tru[\lambda]$  into the loop equation we get the equation that determines the eigenvalues:

$$\sum_{\alpha} e^{i\lambda_{\alpha}} \left( 2 \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta}} - \Delta_{\alpha} \right) = 0 \quad (50)$$

Since  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  is arbitrary, we obtain the known answer:

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{1}{m_{\alpha} - m_{\beta}} \quad (51)$$

## 5 Discussion and Open Problems

We have been able to derive the loop equations for the Hermitian matrix model in arbitrary space-time dimension  $d$  in terms of a functional  $u[\lambda]$ . Moreover, in leading order of large  $N$ , the loop equations form a closed set. All this was possible because we had chosen to write the SDe's in the projector-eigenvalue basis instead of the  $M$ -basis, as usually done in zero dimensions.

We believe this to be an important step in the comprehension of the physics of this model. Although no solution of the equations is available at present, a numerical solution may be feasible. Also a variational ansatz of the type employed in [17] for the QCD string may work.

From a conceptual point of view, our loop equations may shed light into the geometrical structure of the model. The  $d$ -dimensional matrix model provides a discretization for a string in  $d + 1$  dimensions, but the exact geometrical mapping between the two descriptions has not been done yet. As in QCD [18] may be the loop equations will help to bridge such a gap.

## Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges useful conversations with Luis Alvarez-Gaumé and Spenta Wadia. He also wants to thank Poul H. Damgaard for a reading of the manuscript.

This work has been partially financed by Fondecyt # 1930566.

## References

- [1] V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. 157B(1985)295; F. David, Nucl. Phys. B257(1985)45; V.A. Kazakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4(1989)2125.
- [2] D. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64(1990)717; M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B335(1990)635; E. Brezin and V.A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B236(1990)144.
- [3] For a review of matrix models, see P. Ginsparg and G. Moore, Yale and Los Alamos preprint YCTP-P23-92, LA-UR-92-3479(1993) hep-th 9304011.
- [4] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B348(1991)435; M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6(1991)1385.
- [5] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B340(1990)281.
- [6] M. Kontsevich, "Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function", Max Planck Institute preprint MPI/91-47
- [7] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, J. Math. Phys. 21(1980)411; M.L. Mehta, Commun. Math. Phys. 79(1981)327.
- [8] J. Alfaro and J.C. Retamal, Phys. Lett. B222(1989)429.  
J. Alfaro, "Hidden BRST and large N", Lecture at the Cargèse Workshop on Probabilistic Methods in Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Gravity, eds. P.H. Damgaard, H. Huffel and A. Rosembaum (Plenum Press, New York, 1990), p. 279;

- id., “Hidden BRST Symmetry Schwinger-Dyson Equations and Large-N”, Lecture at the XIV Johns Hopkins Workshop on Nonperturbative methods in Low Dimensional Quantum Field Theories, eds. G. Domokos, Z. Horvath and S. Kovesi-Domokos (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), p. 481;  
id., Phys.Rev.D47(1993)4714.
- [9] M. Staudacher, Phys. Lett. B305(1993)332.
  - [10] E. Brezin and S. Hikami, Phys. Lett. B283(1992)203.
  - [11] V. Kazakov and A.A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. B397(1993)214.
  - [12] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J. Barbón and C. Crnković, Nucl. Phys. B394(1993)383.
  - [13] J. Alfaro and P.H. Damgaard, Phys. Lett. 222B(1989)425 and Ann. Phys. 202(1990)398.
  - [14] E. Witten, in Recent Developments in Gauge Theories, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, 1979, eds. G. 't Hooft et al. (Plenum, New York, 1980). In the context of stochastic quantization this point has been discussed in J. Alfaro, Phys. Lett. 148B(1984)157. See also id., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 111(1993)401.
  - [15] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.B. Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys. 59(1978)35.
  - [16] S. Wadia, Phys. Rev. D24(1981)970.
  - [17] A.A. Migdal and M.E. Agishtein, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3(1988)1521.
  - [18] A.A. Migdal, Phys. Rep. 102(1983)199 and references therein.

## Appendix

In this Appendix we want to derive the Schwinger-Dyson BRST variation of the eigenvalues and projectors of the matrix  $M$ . Since the space-time dependence of the involved variables is irrelevant to this discussion, we will not write it explicitly.

To begin with, we write the eigenvalue equation satisfied by the projectors:

$$MT^\alpha = m_\alpha T^\alpha. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Computing the BRST variation of this identity, multiplying by  $T^\beta$  from the left and symmetrizing the resulting expression in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we get:

$$T^\alpha \psi T^\beta + T^\beta \psi T^\alpha = (m_\alpha - m_\beta)(T^\beta \delta T^\alpha - T^\alpha \delta T^\beta) + 2\delta m_\alpha T^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

From  $\alpha = \beta$  we get

$$T^\alpha \psi T^\alpha = \delta m_\alpha T^\alpha. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

That is  $\delta m_\alpha$  is the eigenvalue of  $T^\alpha \psi$  of eigenvector  $T^\alpha$ . Computing the trace of the last equation we get:

$$\delta m_\alpha = \text{tr} \psi T^\alpha. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Consider now the case  $\alpha \neq \beta$  in (A.2). Dividing by  $m_\alpha - m_\beta$  and summing over  $\beta \neq \alpha$  we get the BRST variation of the projectors:

$$\delta T^\alpha = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{T^\alpha \psi T^\beta + T^\beta \psi T^\alpha}{m_\alpha - m_\beta}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As a check, we can easily show that these transformation rules for the projectors and eigenvalues of  $M(x)$  are compatible with eqs. (15)–(17) and that they reproduce eq. (11) as well.